United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,576	11/21/2003	Heiko K. Sacher	CS23123US	3260
Randi L. Karpii	7590 01/24/2007 nia	EXAMINER		
Motorola, Inc. Law Department 8000 West Sunrise Boulevard			PESIN, BORIS M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Lauderdale	e, FL 33322	2174		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		. •	01/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Refore the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/719,576	SACHER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Boris Pesin	2174		

before the riling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Boris Pesin	2174					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover she	eet with the correspondence ad	dress				
THE REPLY FILED 28 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE	THIS APPLICATION IN C	ONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to of this application, applicant must timely file one of the for places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completime periods:	ollowing replies: (1) an an a Notice of Appeal (with a	nendment, affidavit, or other evide opeal fee) in compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		•				
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPE	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period change 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70 NOTICE OF APPEAL	of extension and the correspo the shortened statutory perion later than three months after	onding amount of the fee. The approp od for reply originally set in the final Of	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in c filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFF	R 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of t	ths of the date of he appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejecti	ion, but prior to the date o	f filing a brief, will not be entered	because				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require furthe							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE		•					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by		the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without cancelin		er of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR							
	. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
5. $\ igsqcup$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	• •						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would to non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	: a) ⊠ will not be entered provided below or appen	d, or b)	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action because applicant failed to provide a showing of good was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of f entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is neces	I to overcome all rejection	s under appeal and/or appellant f	ails to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explar REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	nation of the status of the	claims after entry is below or atta	ched.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considere	d but does NOT place the	application in condition for allow	ance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:	·	Vastine KRISTINE KIN	Kineaid ICAID				
		SUPERVISORY PATEN	T EXAMINER				
		TECHNULOGY CEN					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The addition of "providing a four way navigation key, wherein the four way navigation key includes a first type of input along a first axis and a second type of input along a second axis" requires further search and consideration.